

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vrignia 22313-1450 www.tspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/742,631	12/20/2000	Paul E. Furner	J-2781A	9316	
28165 7	7590 08/18/2003				
S.C. JOHNSON & SON, INC.			EXAMINER		
1525 HOWE STREET RACINE, WI 53403-2236			MCKANE, EL	MCKANE, ELIZABETH L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1744		
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2003	8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					N		
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	9		
		09/742,631		FURNER ET AL.	•		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	-	Art Unit			
		Leigh McKane		1744			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress		
A SHOTHE I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the maded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, howe eply within the statutory mir od will apply and will expire tute, cause the application to	over, may a reply be time imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this () (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	 •					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠	This action is non-fi	nal.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und on of Claims				he merits is		
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		ation				
	Claim(s) <u>13-16</u> is/are allowed.	idwii itolii considei	ation.				
·	Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 17-23</u> is/are rejected.						
· _	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election require	ment				
	on Papers	aron olookon roquiro					
9) 🗌 🤄	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) object	ed to by the Exa	miner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be he	d in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a)			
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approve	ed b)□ disappro	ved by the Exami	ner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office ac	tion.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been rece	eived.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for a I	Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		l Stage		
_	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		•		al application).		
) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome				.,		
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	4)	Interview Summary Notice of Informal I Other:	r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P	o(s) TO-152)		
J.S. Patent and To PTO-326 (Re		Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 8			

Art Unit: 1744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schirneker (WO 96/02794).

Schirneker teaches a fuel burner including a solid fuel element **W**, a heat conductive concave container **2** for the fuel element, and a non-consumable wick **3** affixed to the bottom of the container. As shown in Figures 11 and 13, container is shaped to cause the flow of melted fuel to said wick. Moreover, wick holder **5** cooperatively engages the fuel element.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Art Unit: 1744

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schirneker (WO 96/02794).

With respect to claim 22, although Schirneker specifically teaches that the container 2 is to be made of metal and be heat conductive, it is not known if the metal is one of those claimed by the instant invention. However, the use of aluminum, copper, and brass is taught for other elements (page 9, lines 4-5) and it is deemed obvious to the skilled practitioner to employ suitable metals for the container.

As to claim 23, a heat-conductive element 4 surrounds the wick and may be a finned wick-holder as in Figures 2 and 3 or one of various other configurations.

7. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schirneker (WO 96/02794) in view of Moore (U.S. Patent No. 4,427,366) or Zaunbrecher et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,955,034).

Schirneker teaches a fuel burner including a solid fuel element W, which may be

Art Unit: 1744

paraffin, a heat conductive concave container 2 for the fuel element, and a wick 3 affixed to the bottom of the container. As the wick burns the fuel, the heat melts the fuel, which is consumed by the wick. Element 5 cooperatively engages the fuel element. A heat-conductive element 4 surrounds the wick and may be a finned wick-holder as in Figures 2 and 3 or one of various other configurations. It is submitted that the shape of the heat-conductive element is not patentable where the general structure and function of the element are known in the art. The fuel element of Schirneker is not disclosed to contain a volatile active material.

Moore discloses a scented candle wherein odorizing chips are formed of paraffin or candle wax and a scent. See col.1, lines 37-41. Zaunbrecher et al teaches a scented candle wherein the candlewax incorporates an air freshener ingredient. See Abstract.

As Schirneker teaches that the solid fuel element may be candle remainders (page 12, lines 3-5 of the second full paragraph), it would have been obvious to use scented candle remainders.

8. Claims 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schirneker (WO 96/02794) in view of Hammons et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,840,246) and either Moore (U.S. Patent No. 4,427,366) or Zaunbrecher et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,955,034).

Schirneker teaches a fuel burner including a solid fuel element W, which may be paraffin, a heat conductive concave container 2 for the fuel element, and a wick 3 affixed to the bottom of the container. As the wick burns the fuel, the heat melts the fuel, which is consumed by the wick. Element 5 cooperatively engages the fuel element. A heat-conductive element 4 surrounds the wick and may be a finned wick-holder as in Figures 2

Art Unit: 1744

and 3 or one of various other configurations. It is submitted that the shape of the heat-conductive element is not patentable where the general structure and function of the element are known in the art. The wick 3 of Schirneker is non-consumable and the fuel element is not disclosed to contain a volatile active material.

Hammons et al teaches that consumable (e.g. cotton) and non-consumable (e.g. glass fiber) wicks are suitable for delivering liquid fuel to a flame. See col.4, line 56 to col.5, line 11. Given the teachings of Hammons et al, the substitution of a consumable wick for the non-consumable wick of Schirneker is considered to be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, so long as the chosen wick is capable of delivering the liquid paraffin to the flame and is slowly consumed.

Moore discloses a scented candle wherein odorizing chips are formed of paraffin or candle wax and a scent. See col.1, lines 37-41. Zaunbrecher et al teaches a scented candle wherein the candlewax incorporates an air freshener ingredient. See Abstract.

As Schirneker teaches that the solid fuel element may be candle remainders (page 12, lines 3-5 of the second full paragraph), it would have been obvious to use scented candle remainders.

9. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oesterle et al (U.S. Patent No. 2,713,256) in view of Zaunbrecher et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,955,034).

Oesterle et al teaches a solid replacement element **B**. The element **B** includes a solid candle wax fuel and a consumable wick **6**, wherein the element **B** is configured to cooperatively engage container **A** (dispenser). See Figure 3. Oesterle et al is silent as to weather the fuel element **B** also includes a volatile active material. Zaunbrecher et al

Art Unit: 1744

teaches a scented candle wherein the candlewax incorporates an air freshener ingredient. See Abstract. As candles commonly incorporate a volatile that is generated by the candle's heat, it would have been obvious that a scented candle would be used by Oesterle et al. Moreover, Zaunbrecher et al teaches that suitable candle waxes include beeswax, paraffin, montan wax, carnauba wax, microcrystalline wax, fatty alcohols, and fatty esters (col.2, lines 17-22). As suitable candles waxes are well-documented in the prior art, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to choose one of the waxes described by Zaunbrecher et al for use in the candle of Oesterle et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 13-16 are allowed.
- 11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Schirneker teaches a simmer plate dispenser with a replaceable fuel element, but fails to teach a construction of the device such that "the temperature of said pool of liquid fuel exceeds a temperature of about 180 °F at a point about 10 mm from said wick, and about 160 °F at a point about 20 mm from said wick".

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed June 12, 2001 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English

Art Unit: 1744

language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to

therein has not been considered.

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Leigh McKane whose telephone number is 703-305-

3387. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday (7:15 am-4:45

pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 703-308-2920. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310

for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

Rugh McKane

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1744

elm

August 11, 2003